

Rushmere Road – Options Comparison Table

Option	Pros	Cons
A-Replace existing orange & white posts with black & white Jislon style posts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current spacing prevents waiting/loading. • Current spacing provides greatest sense of security for cyclist of all options. • Most conspicuous of all methods, especially during night time hours due mainly to the percentage of reflectivity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aesthetically most intrusive. • Not able to maintain sweep leaves/detritus from lanes with current sweeper. • Limited overtaking opportunities
B – Replace existing wands with black & white poles at 5m spacings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spacing will deter parking • Aesthetically less intrusive than existing scheme. • The wand is the narrowest product allowing maximum width where used. Will be used to maximise width where required (min to be achieved 1.22m). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not able to maintain sweep leaves/detritus from lanes with current sweeper. • Does not provide cyclist with as much sense of security as existing scheme. • Limited overtaking opportunities
C-Use a combination of poles (Black & White Jislon style) & orcas at 5m spacings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of wand at regular spacing will provide motorists with advanced sight of the continuous lane. • Aesthetically less intrusive than existing scheme. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not provide cyclist with as much sense of security as existing scheme. • Does little to prevent waiting/loading within the lane. May require further waiting prohibitions. • Not able to maintain sweep leaves/detritus from lanes with current sweeper. • Orca is the widest product resulting in narrowest lane option (general width of 1.2m)

Option	Pros	Cons
<p>D - Use a combination of poles (Black & White Jislon style) & orcas at 5m spacings, Greater spacing between poles (max 25m). Poles used in pairs.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of wand at regular spacing will provide motorists with advanced sight of the continuous lane. • Aesthetically less intrusive than existing scheme. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not provide cyclist with as much sense of security as existing scheme. • Does little to prevent waiting/loading within the lane. May require further waiting prohibitions. • Not able to maintain sweep leaves/detritus from lanes with current sweeper. • Orca is the widest product resulting in narrowest lane option (general width of 1.2m)